

## Nguyen, Minh Dieu

---

**From:** Alfred Stadnicki [AStadnicki@antonelli.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, April 25, 2005 5:14 PM  
**To:** Nguyen, Minh Dieu  
**Cc:** Alfred Stadnicki  
**Subject:** RE: 3350-019E (09/471,490)-INFORMAL COMMUNICATION



3350-019E.E  
.SPEC & CLM

Dear Examiner Nguyen,

Further to our earlier discussion of today, attached are the modifications to the specification and claims.

With regard to the specification, the Related Applications section is updated as requested.

With regard to the claims, a full listing is provided with claims 26-38 amended and claims 39-49 cancelled, as in the attachment forwarded with my earlier email on April 21st.

As discussed, you have our authorization to modify the above referenced application in accordance with the attachment by issuance of an Examiner Amendment with a Notice of Allowability of claims 1-38.

Thank you again for your efforts.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if we can be of further assistance.

With best regards.

Alfred A. Stadnicki  
30226

<<3350-019E.EX.AMT.SPEC & CLMS.doc>>

```
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: Alfred Stadnicki  
> Sent: Thursday, April 21, 2005 2:51 PM  
> To: 'MINHDIEU.NGUYEN@USPTO.GOV'  
> Cc: Alfred Stadnicki  
> Subject: 3350-019E (09/471,490)-INFORMAL COMMUNICATION  
> Importance: High  
>  
> Dear Examiner Nguyen,  
>  
> Thank you for taking time to discuss this case with me.  
>  
> As indicated during our conversation, we would like to obtain prompt disposal (i.e. allowance) of this case. A continuation application will be filed after allowance.  
>  
> At present there is an outstanding non-final official action issued, with claims 1-25 allowed and claims 26-49 rejected. As you will recall, claims 26-38 and 43-49 were previously deemed allowable. However, while these claims are still considered allowable over the prior art, they now stand rejected as directed to non-statutory subject matter.  
>  
> Accordingly, we would like for you to now enter an examiner amendment cancelling rejected claims 39-49 and amending claims 26-38 so as to more clearly meet the mandates of 35 USC 101.  
>
```

> A copy of the proposed modifications to claims 26-38 to accomplish the above is attached  
for your consideration. The proposed modifications continue to include features and  
limitations of original claims 26-38 which were deemed to distinguish over the prior art  
of record. However, please note that the term "voucher" is proposed to be deleted from  
dependent claims 32 and 35 as an unnecessary limiting.  
>  
> If acceptable, you have our authorization to enter an Examiner Amendment modifying  
claims 26-38 and cancelling claims 39-49, per the attached, for issuance with a Notice of  
Allowability claims 1-38.  
>  
> Please feel free to contact me should you have any questions regarding the above or  
attached or if you require anything further from us.  
>  
> Thank you again for your consideration. I will look forward to hearing back from you  
(hopefully with a confirmation that the Examiner Amendment will be entered and the case  
allowed.  
>  
> With best regards.  
>  
> Alfred A. Stadnicki  
> 30226  
> \*\*\*\*\*  
> This email message and any files transmitted with it are subject to attorney-client  
privilege and/or contain confidential information intended only for the person(s) to whom  
this email message is addressed. If you have received this email message in error, please  
notify the sender immediately by telephone (call collect) or email and destroy the  
original message without making a copy. Thank you. Alfred A. Stadnicki, ANTONELLI, TERRY,  
STOUT AND KRAUS, Tele:703-312-6600  
> \*\*\*\*\*  
>  
> << File: 3350-019E.PROP.CLM.AMT-04.05.doc >>  
>